

## Justice/General

Some of these reflect on the American  
judicial system.

**Garth Stapley**

---

**From:** [REDACTED]

**Sent:** Friday, January 09, 2004 12:00 PM

**To:** Garth Stapley

**Subject:** witness

Hi! I live in Stanislaus county and I am not convinced Scott Peterson is guilty or innocent, I would make a good juror. God I hope they don't move it, no matter where it goes, whatever the facts are, will remain and stand on their own. I hope the trial stays here.

My 2 cents,

[REDACTED]

**Sent:** Tuesday, December 30, 2003 3:02 PM  
**To:** John Cote  
**Subject:** make me a juror!

Hi,

I moved to Modesto in June, and though I have not been in a jury before, I feel that I would be perfect.

I have followed this story of Scott and Laci, sometimes closely, sometimes not, and I am NOT convinced that he is guilty. I feel that I would make a great juror in this case. I wonder if there are not more people like me who understand the publics' need to blame someone, 'someone has to be guilty they say, it may as well be him, he was unfaithful after all'.

Well, unfaithful does not make a murderer in my book. And yes, he did some stupid things along the way before he was jailed, but I think any of us, knowing for certain that no matter what we did, we were going down, would do some of the things he did. Don't get me wrong, I don't think he is innocent either, I am not convinced either way.

I just think that sometimes people go along with an idea and then it becomes a state idea and then a national idea and all of the original ideas of 'innocent until proven guilty' are forgotten completely. All because we need someone to blame.

So make me a juror, I'd give him a fair trial.

Cordially,

 (please don't use my full name)

Modesto, CA.

----- Original Message -----

From: [REDACTED]

Sent: Wednesday, June 23, 2004 11:49 AM

To: [REDACTED]

Subject: BUSH and JUROR #5

QUERY: WHO IS DUMBER? GEORGE W. BUSH OR JUROR #5?

NEXT QUERY: CAN WE ALSO GET A JUDGE TO THROW BUSH OUT? (AFTER ALL, A FEW OF THEM GOT HIM IN.)

## Garth Stapley

---

**From:** TrialPicks.com [noreply@trialpicks.com]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, March 22, 2005 1:31 PM  
**To:** Garth Stapley  
**Subject:** RE: how much did the winner of the scott peterson verdict win?



---

Garth:

Thanks for contacting us!

The **Scott Peterson** TrialPick was our most active trial to date. 'Jurygirl' was the jackpot winner -- totaling \$425 dollars!

### **Scott Peterson Verdict Stats:**

---

Guilty: 66%  
Not Guilty: 18%  
Mistrial: 13%  
Hung Jury: 3%

Sincerely,  
William Huscher  
Reality-Net Entertainment  
[www.TrialPicks.com](http://www.TrialPicks.com)  
[www.YourVerdict.com](http://www.YourVerdict.com)



Subject: Scott Peterson contest

modbee:

More news on the Scott Peterson case. If the 'Man or Monster' billboard didn't trivialize our justice system, this sure does. My nephew brought this to my attention when he learned of it at school today... (www.TrialPicks.com). People are placing their own verdicts in hopes to win a stupid game. What does this say about our little town now?!

Signed,  
disgruntle community friend

---

Store more e-mails with MSN Hotmail Extra Storage - 4 plans to choose from!


<http://click.atdmt.com/AVE/go/onm00200362ave/direct/01/>

City = brooklyn

Zip = 11214

00A ←

Comments = it is a shame the amount of time wasted on scott petersen and his case. What about the tons of missing persons, and murders going on everyday and even in your community in modesto. Now if scott gets the death penalty ,what is the length of time it takes in california to be put to death 12 years or so. The best outcome would be to put scott in iraq, and let him lead the troops so he could suffer like our american military in its quest for change in iraq..give him no weapon and send him over to baghdad, and send geragos too, let them suffer in 108 degree temperature in a fascist land ,so they can have their free speech here. these rules should change. make scott peterson take the stand. why not. he is allowed to sit their in this free country and exploit his rights. these people have exploited free speech and trial law.. execute him right after the trial.



✓

Regarding Scot Peterson and a fair trial.

Because of the notoriety of this case I believe his chances of a fair trial are as equal here as in any city, or state for that matter. Here is the thing, let's say 4011, yes any of you were chosen to be a juror. Let's say for all intents & purposes that when all was said and done & you are now deliberating that the prosecution was not able to prove to you beyond reasonable doubt that Scot is guilty of 1<sup>st</sup> degree murder / special circumstances. What then?

~~Can~~ As for myself, (which I know is not possible because I gave birth the day Lacy was found and no defense would want that)

I would not want to be a juror that acquitted Scot and live in the same community of

All of Lacy's loved ones, & even loved ones of loved ones. Would you? You would be hated by 1/2 the town & maybe even be made a target. At least if you hold it some where else the jurors would not be forced to look over their shoulder the rest of their lives.

Really, if you hold the trial in a place where no one personally knew Lacy, her family or friends, there will not be as much severity in the people disagreeing with an acquittal.

Unless I was guaranteed absolute anonymity (which is impossible because this will be a lengthy trial & a juror's own employer & friends & family will undoubtedly know & someone will undoubtedly blab) would I want to be a juror in this case living in Modesto.

DEC 1 1 20  
LETTERS TO  
SCOTT

I know many of you are saying to yourselves bull crap, just as many people hate Scot in Cleveland Ohio as in Modesto. This may be true however, those people are not the people Lucy went to school with, worked with ect. Those people are not her family thus could not possibly feel the depth of the pain + anger those people would feel if Scot should be acquitted.

Sure those people would have their fair share of "What were you thinking" + "I can't believe you acquitted him" but are they going to fear ~~the~~ possibly dangerous ~~repercussions~~ repercussions for their decisions? I really don't think so.


So for the safety + future peace of the jurors who sit on Scot Petersons case, POSSIBLY acquitting him, I think this trial should be moved to a community that does not have a personal link to Lucy. Again, not because I don't think he can have a fair trial here but for the potential jurors safety. One more thing, for those of you hating me just because I even mention the possibility of an acquittal???. Not only could you not give a fair trial but you are the very people I am speaking of especially if you are a friend or family of Lucy. I do not say Scot is innocent by any means. I am merely saying, what if the unexpected happens. What do the jurors potentially face in the after math?

DEC 1 1971  
LETTERS TO  
EDITOR

On a personal note, I too cried intensely for  
Lucy + Connor - as I said, I gave birth  
the day Lucy's body was found. I took  
flowers + a card to her house. I hurt for  
her family. But look at OJ Simpson case.  
An acquittal COULD happen. Is it  
fair to the people doing their civic duty  
to be hated by their community should  
that happen? Move the trial for  
the sake of the jurors.

[REDACTED]

95355

 [REDACTED]  
Modesto, CA 95355  
a supporter

## Garth Stapley

---

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Monday, October 27, 2003 10:20 AM  
**To:** Garth Stapley  
**Subject:** Part of the vernacular now

From the Akron Beacon Journal's crime watch log:

Michael Quigley, 22, of **Gleason Avenue** was charged with menacing on Oct. 14 after his 21-year-old wife told police he threatened to kill her during a quarrel. Quigley reportedly told his wife, "It would be Laci Peterson all over again."

**Garth Stapley**

---

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Thursday, September 04, 2003 4:04 AM  
**To:** Garth Stapley  
**Subject:** Scott's Wedding Ring

Great article - I follow the Mod Bee every day on line from New Jersey.

The photo that Al just took - I don't see a wedding ring on Scott! Do you?

No proof he is guilty - just a 'cad' as Mark Geragos called him on the Larry King show PRIOR to taking him on as a client.

Thanks for the great stories.

[REDACTED]  
Wall Township,  
NJ

## Garth Stapley

---

From: [REDACTED]  
Sent: Sunday, August 01, 2004 2:06 PM  
To: Garth Stapley; John Cote  
Cc: SENATORSPEIER@SDN.CA.GOV; jamiecourt@consumerwatchdog.org  
Subject: USE OF PUBLIC FUNDS TO PAY FOR EXPERT WITNESSES IN PETERSON TRIAL

It is an outrage that public funds can be used to pay for expert witnesses or other costs for Scott Peterson's criminal defense. If Mr. Geragos so believes in Mr. Peterson's innocence, let him foot the bills for his expert witnesses!

There is something terribly wrong with our judicial system when citizens of California are being asked to foot the bill for "top-notch legal defense" for Mr. Peterson while at the same time some of these same citizens, their families and/or friends are being denied their seventh amendment right -- cannot get their day in court -- because of MICRA caps or ERISA law after the wrongful death or catastrophic injury of a loved one caused by HMOs, their medical groups and/or doctors.

I ask every citizen of legal age in California to stop this outrage and join with me to demand that the confidential decision of Stanislaus County Superior Court Judges Roger Beauchesne and Linda McFadden regarding Mr. Geragos' request be made public, and that the California law which allows for this unconscionable preferential treatment of a criminal defendant be immediately rescinded.

[REDACTED]

---

Don't just search. Find. Check out the new MSN Search!  
<http://search.msn.click-url.com/go/onm00200636ave/direct/01/>

## Garth Stapley

---

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, May 13, 2003 5:19 PM  
**To:** Garth Stapley  
**Subject:** wiretaps

Having spent many years in the bay area as a deputy sheriff, I can tell you for certain that it is common practice of all law enforcement agencies to intentionally listen in to the conversations between a client and their attorney, actually tape record their conversation. Yes, it is illegal, however, law enforcement, you are reading it correctly, "ENFORCEMENT" do not care. Their attitude is get a conviction by any means possible even if they have to be a party to perjury. Is all of law enforcement dirty? No! But, many, too many within the law enforcement community are. Anyone, who believes that the Constitution of the United States will protect them, has their head in the sand. The best advice I can give you or anyone else, is, "NEVER" never trust anyone in law enforcement. Yes, you should develop a source, sources, of reliable information from within the legal community. But, always use caution, check, double check, and recheck your information. Sometimes, its not always possible, just do not be afraid to have some degree of skepticism. You never know when someone, even your reliable sources of information, they sometimes get themselves into trouble that you may know nothing about, will attempt to set you up. Cover yourself, protect your ASS, because, no one but you is going to look out for you.

**Garth Stapley**

---

**From:** [REDACTED]

**Sent:** Sunday, August 22, 2004 9:49 AM

**To:** gstapley@modbee.com.

**Subject:** Scott Peterson

This trial is a joke ! The prosecutors in this trial do not have a clue how to prosecute a killer. This trial should have been moved to Texas so Scott could witness a real death row. California is too light on crime !

## Garth Stapley

---

**From:** [REDACTED]

**Sent:** Thursday, March 24, 2005 7:42 AM

**To:** Garth Stapley

**Subject:** Peterson Case Costs

This is such a shame that your County has to fund these costs that were unnecessary in the very first place and Peterson certainly isn't worth it. There is something wrong with our system when a high profile defense attorney, using what appear to be more than questionable tactics to try to put spin on testimony, can have free wheeling reign with money in your County funds. To me it is just disgusting that good, hard working, tax paying citizens have, in reality, paid this tab for a person who in my opinion is just a piece of scum. Something is really wrong here. There should be a strict ceiling on these kinds of tabs. Disgusted in Virginia

## Garth Stapley

---

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, March 15, 2005 10:36 AM  
**To:** Garth Stapley  
**Subject:** Modbee article.

I am absolutely fuming that the DA apparently says that ""the prosecutor has no obligation to seek out information from other agencies or sources for the benefit of the defense." The MPD and the DA's office had every obligation in the world to investigate everything, not just the things that they thought pointed to Scott as the murderer.

Harris and Distaso know full well that the burglary happened on the 24th. They also know that it happened at 11:40am per the illegally hypnotized Diane Jackson's statement. They have a date-stamped receipt that Scott was at Berkeley marina at noon, and he could not have been anywhere near Modesto when Laci confronted Todd.

This is exculpatory evidence any day of the week, and I am disgusted that there is apparently no-one in the MPD or the DA's office who is man enough to admit their mistake.

If this is justice Modesto style then you can keep it!

[REDACTED]

## Garth Stapley

---

From: [REDACTED]  
Sent: Thursday, December 09, 2004 12:08 PM  
To: Garth Stapley  
Subject: Scott Peterson

Dear Sir,

I wanted to write to you to express my disgust at Scott Petersons so-called trial.

Is this the way Americans sentence people to death, on accusation and witch hunts.

Where is the proof beyond reasonable doubt?

Where is the right to a properly investigated system of events?

I believe you are a pro Peterson newspaper, as you always put in the things that matter.

Where are the things that matter, in this trial? Things like reasonable doubt and damning evidence?

Where is the link that catches him in the act?

What is happening out there?

Is it a case of ' it's so obvious ' that we don't need any evidence any more?

What of the jury? Do they just want to get home for Christmas.

I wish I was in that jury, I would not let it happen! I would be the fly in the ointment.

I would make them sit through Christmas until one of them got a brain.

I would ask each one of them what they thought Christmas was about? And then

have them say they still believe in it!

So many church going Americans and where are they now?

I might add that I am not one of them but my heart is still bigger than theirs is right now!

I don't believe in God but i do believe in what goes around comes around.

It doesn't matter what they think happened, only what did happen and still

no-one has that information to close the chapter on Laci's life.

If they kill him, we will never know.

I suppose it all depends on how much they really care?

Wish i was more hopeful but i've been let down before.

Thankyou for sharing this,

[REDACTED]  
PS I am just a mum with four children who will never be able to sleep on this.

---

Express yourself with cool new emoticons  
<http://www.msn.co.uk/specials/myemo>

## Garth Stapley

---

From: [REDACTED]  
Sent: Wednesday, December 01, 2004 4:26 AM  
To: Garth Stapley  
Subject: Peterson trial

Subject: Peterson trial  
Date: December 1, 2004 4:06:05 AM PST  
To: gstapley@modbee.com

I just have two questions:

While I personally believe that Scott is probably guilty, how can this jury convict and sentence an individual to death when no solid evidence has been presented?

It is has all been based on assumption. We have heard even through the media that there was no solid evidence, but pure speculation; we do not even know the method of how Lacy and her child were killed... That right there should tell us that there is a reasonable doubt!

It is a pity that our justice system has to be questioned. For example, take the OJ Simpson trial...

There was an enormous amount of evidence against him, and we let a murderer walk. There is not half as much evidence against Scott Peterson, and now he faces the death penalty. There is definitely something wrong with our system. How would all these people who are so quick to convince feel if someone in their family were to be convicted with out solid evidence?

I am not whatsoever condoning Scott Peterson's actions and lies, but when our justice system works like this, it really makes you think how many innocent people are sitting in a cell for crimes they did not commit. One must also consider those who are not only wrongly convicted, but also those from that group who are on death row that are innocent. It is absolutely pathetic that after everything we have faced in the United States in the last few years, that a group of people has nothing better to do than stand outside a court house and who and ha and make snide comments to Scott Peterson's parents and family. They are people like every one else. I pity these people who do not value and understand the justice system and want to play follow the leader, i.e.; the media.

If the American community can learn anything from this, they need to learn what our system is all about, how it works, and revisit the idea of beyond a reasonable doubt.

Unfortunately, we have the media to thank for that. The election is another example of that. They were all convinced that Bush was a war hungry leader and had so they thought, Kerry as president. Now, that one back-fired, didn't it? Ohio shocked every one. My main question here is, " Are people ever going to learn????"

~Anonymous, San Diego, CA

## Garth Stapley

---

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 22, 2004 11:42 AM  
**To:** Garth Stapley  
**Subject:** Scott Peterson

Mr. Stapley, I was just reading your coverage of the trial. You know what is so sad, that in a world full of video monitor cameras, unfortunately there wasn't any by the marina where Scott Peterson so-called launched his boat. It's sad that he didn't wind up anywhere where he could have been videotaped. Another thing I find unusual is, why is Amber Fry chatting with Lacy's friends, and staying over at one of their houses? I don't understand that. That is really strange. This case gets weirder and weirder by the minute. Anyway, just wanted to comment on a few things, [REDACTED]

---

Do you Yahoo!?  
Yahoo! Mail is new and improved - [Check it out!](#)

## Garth Stapley

---

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, June 11, 2003 8:48 AM  
**To:** Garth Stapley  
**Subject:** Peterson Van Sightings

I have followed the Peterson case since Laci disappeared. She struck a cord with me because we were both pregnant at the time & she was due to deliver near my birthday. I have been a regular Bee Reader ever since & think that your coverage has been exceptional.

These poor people in today's story about van sightings are simply pathetic. They feel so adamantly that they know important details about the case...that they have to chase some poor unfortunate guys down the freeway to gauge their demeanor!

I am a working Mom, my van (which happens to be goldish tan, but I have never even been to CA) is covered in McDonald's wrappers/papers from work, and misc. debris....in that persons assumption, I must be a serial killer!!!! Did it ever occur to the pursuing sleuth that these guys (heinously violating the fashion code by wearing stained tee shirts might have just been innocent....thus their not noticing the car curiously driving alongside to gawk at them? Maybe the reason that the investigators ignored their "help" is because they happen to be privy to undisclosed info...of course all leads are helpful, but if you didn't see Laci in the van, the van in her driveway, etc. Your info may not be that valuable. Trust the authorities to do their jobs once you have reported your info. Retrospective sightings are not always reliable...whether from the sightings of Laci walking her dog, to the sightings of the mystery van. Heck, people in studies have been asked what a perp looked like & gave all kinds of differing descriptions when subjected to random staged "crimes" on an episode of Dateline (I couldn't swear it my retrospective recollection is skewed) If these vans were acting so mysteriously, why didn't someone call the Police before Laci disappeared?

Most people have an innate sense of justice & want to do the right thing. This case appeals to that sense. But, unfortunately, we may never find out the truth about what fate befell Laci & Conner Peterson. I can have my theories, so can Law Enforcement...but without solid evidence...there will not be a conviction...just my thoughts.

[REDACTED]

**Garth Stapley**

---

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, March 30, 2005 3:21 PM  
**To:** Garth Stapley  
**Subject:** Re: Soliciting comments about Scott Peterson?

I live in Fort Wayne, IN, the state's second-largest city, with Ohio about 20 minutes to the east and Michigan some 40 minutes to the north. We're the mid-point between Chicago and Detroit, so we're infamous as a crack router.

----- Original Message -----

**From:** Garth Stapley  
**To:** [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, March 30, 2005 6:15 PM  
**Subject:** RE: Soliciting comments about Scott Peterson?

thanks for this.  
could i ask where you live? (city and/or state...)

-----Original Message-----

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, March 30, 2005 2:49 PM  
**To:** Garth Stapley  
**Subject:** Soliciting comments about Scott Peterson?

I am what Gloria Allred who, in my view, brings disrepute to the legal profession and is one of the reasons for the old joke: "What are 10,000 lawyers tied together at the bottom of the ocean? A good start!", has called "a loser." My reasonable doubt about his guilt is planted in a fundamental proof, ie, without a cause of death, a weapon or incriminatory statements by the accused, how can proof that a crime even occurred be established. Certainly, case law in every states allows, rightfully so, "circumstantial evidence" to bolster the probably that a crime occurred and who committed it. Where I apparently deviate from the majority is in the interpretation of the circumstantial evidence in the Peterson case which, it seems, is based on his reaction, or lack of it, as he was expected to react. If I am correct, I have what I think are valid fears about being adjudicated and sentenced if I don't respond to a tragedy or crisis the way societal norms expect me to react.

To date, since mid-February, I have received three letters from Mr. Peterson. The empathy that he expresses for a crisis I am currently enduring does not gel with the "Monster in Chains" (New York Post) or "Portrait of a Psychopath" (Modesto Bee, if I remember correctly) persona that is indicative of the media and general public opinion.

**Stapley, Garth - Modesto**

---

**From:** [REDACTED]

**Sent:** Friday, July 27, 2007 9:51 PM

**To:** Stapley, Garth - Modesto

**Subject:** scott peterson

Hi Guy. I'm absolutely nobody to you but I've been reading up on the stories..again!..and continue to question exactly what happened between Scott Peterson and Laci Rocha-Peterson. Oddly, I think he was perhaps less guilty than we think but again, why wouldn't he scream his innocence? If you're at all interested in bantering those questions back and forth, get back to me. Otherwise, take care of yourself!

**Stapley, Garth - Modesto**

---

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Friday, December 15, 2006 10:46 AM  
**To:** Garth Stapley  
**Subject:** Your article about "We the Jury"

Mr. Stapley:

I've read a number of your articles about the Peterson case and have noted that in general you are quite thorough in your reporting.

I'm aware that the Peterson jurors attended a dinner with the Rocha family, members of the MPD, and the prosecutors at the Canyon Inn in Redwood City in January 2005. Did you do a story about this for the Modesto Bee? If not, do you know where I could find more information about this dinner?

Thank you.

[REDACTED]  
Naples, Florida

**Garth Stapley**

---

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Thursday, September 04, 2003 10:25 AM  
**To:** Garth Stapley  
**Subject:** Laci's doctor rebuts reports

I had just read the above titled article you wrote and was curious about something ... if you do not mind answering

Wouldn't Dr. Yip be under the Gag Order as a potential witness for the Prosecution?

How did he get around the Gag in order to make the remark?

Also curious if that was not a breach of Dr/Patient confidentiality?

I enjoy reading the Bee, it keeps me up to date in the Peterson case ... but this article just threw me a bit.

Thank You in advance

[REDACTED]



*Incredimail* - Email has finally evolved - [Click Here](#)

**Garth Stapley**

---

**From:** [REDACTED]

**Sent:** Tuesday, July 27, 2004 8:14 AM

**To:** Garth Stapley

**Subject:** Peterson trial

What is going on with the trial ? There is nothing being said why no trial. About Scot Peterson.

**Garth Stapley**

---

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Monday, June 16, 2003 1:32 PM  
**To:** Garth Stapley  
**Subject:** comment on today's article

"He's got me convinced he didn't do it," Young said in a jailhouse interview. "He loved that girl."

which girl?

how soon before laci or after

why is scott getting special treatment

convinced-leo dicaprio had a alot of girls that he was actually jack dawson

convince is not fact

i have 2 more words to add Amber Frey

what about her scott

love is not adultery scott

i would like to send scott a letter and ask him why he did what he did

be thankful i am not God because i would have no mercy on his soul

i am a plaintiff in the people od california vs scottt peterson

i care a bout laci and conner

not scott

he should be locked up for adultery

adultery is nit just breaking a 10 cmmandment of God

ist's heartbreaking to the non-alduterer

i am amd that scott is getting the limelight

his treatment is not deserved and i hate to hear about this

thanks



11/5/03

Dear John Cote & Garth Stapley

I am writing this letter hoping you can bring some light to this situation. This officers actions needs to be called into question and some one needs to look into past cases were he falsified documents lied on the stand fabricated statements, tainted evidence and did what ever he had to to build a case against myself and others as well as Scott Peterson. This week his testimony will be called into question about planting evidence in the Peterson case. After Reading your article in today's Modesto Bee November 5, 03 claiming suspicion of him planting evidence I have evidence on my case where he tainted a picture line up by putting me in a line up of another race knowing that he violated my due process and falsified probable cause declaration to keep me in jail. I was arrested on August 23, 02 my rights have been violated and I have heard about a lot of cases were he has violated people's right. The Modesto police department as well as lawyers and Judge's from Stanislaus County have been letting officer [REDACTED] do what ever he deemed necessary to close a case. He has been breaking laws to close cases for years and if someone would just take the time to investigate what

investigation file I'll bet there are something about his past that could help a lot of innocent people. I am still incarcerated for crimes I did not commit and am trying to show my innocence, uptill now the court has denied me proper representation on my case. My attorney [REDACTED] [REDACTED] has given me address and phone numbers of victims and witness. If you can send anyone so I can show you these documents of police misconduct it maybe helpful in the SCOTT Peterson case as well. I really can't believe that stariskaus court system let this type of situation of police misconduct go so far as to have involve a officer like [REDACTED] in a major case like this knowing what they all know about him. I would be willing to show you what I got.

Respectfully

**Garth Stapley**

---

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Saturday, December 13, 2003 7:30 PM  
**To:** Garth Stapley  
**Subject:** Blood Drive?????????

**A correction is needed in your story about Delta Blood Bank: Delta Blood Bank is a "NOT for profit" but profit it does, from the "free" blood donated by donors everyday. Delta Blood Bank is not in the "NON" profit status.**

**Dr. [REDACTED] hopes the use of Laci Peterson and son will tug at the heartstrings and memories of the people of Modesto, just as he has with Sharon Rocha, to overstock the shelves at Delta Blood Bank to profit on the sale of blood to those people who find themselves in need of blood. Check out what a pint of blood sells for, and how expensive platelets are for those who use that product, then check out the profits made, and properties owned by Delta Blood Bank. "Why a blood drive?" Because Delta Blood Bank approached Sharon Rocha with the idea first. While I do sincerely feel sympathy for Sharon's loss, Laci and Conner used no blood, and if they had, the cost would have been tremendous. If you use 1 pint of blood from Delta Blood Bank, you or your family must replace it with at least 13 donors donating 1 pint each! Donating blood is really good, when done for the right reasons, but selling the blood that was donated "free", is "big business". Just "Ask" Dr. [REDACTED] or anyone or their family who has paid dearly for this life-saving product !**